| MONTHLY PERFORM | ANCE REPORT: OCTOBER 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | KEY TO STATUS COLOURING KEY TO QUARTILE & TARGETED QUARTILE COLOURING | | | | | KEYS | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|-----|-------------|-----|-------|--|--|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| CURRENT STATUS | (BV12r - rolling colour status not counted) | | | | | | | | | | | | | GREEN: | | | | ~ | Interim figure, still to be | e validated | | | | |
| 6 17 | 54.8% 🔥 6 | 19.4% | | | R | 7 | 22.6% | | | NC | DATA OR N/A | 1 | 3.2% | Overall performance on or exceed Top or Upper Median Quartile | ing target | | | | BV12r - Rolling colour | status not counted | | | | |
| MONTH ON MONTH TREND | | | | | | | | | | | | | | AMBER: | | | | | | | | | | |
| ↑ 10 | 32.3% 🔶 3 | 9.7% | | | Ļ | 16 | 51.6% | | | NC | DATA OR N/A | 2 | 6.5% | Overall performance within range Lower Median Quartile | stated in "Targ | et Tolerances" col | lumn | | | | | | | |
| YEAR ON YEAR TREND | | | | | | | | | | | | | | RED: | | | | | | | | | | |
| † 11 | 35.5% 🔶 1 | 3.2% | | | Ļ | 10 | 32.3% | | | NC | DATA OR N/A | 9 | 29.0% | Overall performance outside the s Bottom Quartile | tated "Target T | olerances" | | | | | | | | |
| Please contact Dale Robertso | on Ext 7110, if you require further information or supp | ort | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | I | - | | | | | | |
| ID | NAME | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | ост | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE | ANNUAL TARGET | CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any] | TARGET TOLERANCES | PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH | OVERALL PERFORMANCE AGAINST SAME TIME LAST YEAR | NBC 08/09 OUTTUR & QUARTILE POSTION | N NBC 07/08 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSTION | | | |
| Neighbourhood Environment | tal Services [Simone Wade] | | <u> </u> | | | 6 | 4 | | () | 1 | | 8 | 0 | No data or n/a | 0 | | | 4 | | 1 | | | | |
| ↓ NI 191 | Number of kilograms of residual household waste collected per household | 46 | 41 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 45 | 42 | | | | | | 296kg | 495kg | 297kg | +15kg | ↑ | New N | II 2009/10 - No compara | able data | | | |
| 1 NI 192 | Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting | 40.91 | 41.77 | 44.77 | 41.49 | 39.62 | 38.56 | 39.30 | | | | | | 40.93% | 40.00% | 42.36% | 5% | ↑ | ↓ 42.11% | 37.88% | 38.74% | | | |
| ↑ LI 105 (previously ELPI 5) | Percentage of fly-tips removed in 2 working days | 99.86 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.80 | 100 | | | | | | 99.95% | 97.00% | | 5% | ↑ | † 99.81% | 99.82% | 99.83% | | | |
| LI 784 (previously ELPI 6) | Number of missed refuse collections per 734,350 collections made | 239 | 74 | 143 | 127 | 111 | 193 | 95 | | | | | | 982 | 2,100 | 1,198 | 5% | ↑ | Change in calcu | lation methodology - No | o comparable data | | | |
| ↑ LI 785 (previously ELPI 10) | Percentage of missed collections put right within 24 hours | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | 100% | 100% | | 2% points | \leftrightarrow | ↔ 100% | 100% | 98.92% | | | |
| Public Protection [Steve Else | [v] | r | 1 | r | r | 0 | 2 | 1 | ۸ | 0 | 1 | | 2 | No data or n/a | 0 | - | 1 | | | | | | | |
| ↓ BV 126 | Domestic burglaries per year per 1,000 households in local authority area | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | | | | | | 9.8 | 15.0 | 8.8 | 5% | \leftrightarrow | ↑ 11.1 | 20.7 Bottom | 20.9 Bottom | | | |
| ↓ BV 127a | Violent crime per year, per 1,000 population | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.8 | | | | | | 14.8 | 22.9 | 13.4 | 5% | ↑ | ↓ 13.9 | 23.6 Bottom | 26.8 Bottom | | | |
| ↓ BV 127b | Robberies per year, per 1,000 population | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | | | | | | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 5% | ↑ | † 1.4 | 2.5 Bottom | 2.7 Bottom | | | |
| ↓ BV 128 | The number of vehicle crimes per year, per 1,000 population in the local authority area | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | | | | | | 7.2 | 14.8 | 8.7 | 5% | ¥ | ↑ 9.1 | 13.9 Bottom | 16.2 Bottom | | | |
| Planning [Sue Bridge] | | _ | | | | 6 | 4 | | <u> </u> | 0 | | ₿ | 0 | No data or n/a | 1 | | | | | _ | | | | |
| ↑ ^{NI 157a LM} | Percentage of "large scale major" planning applications determined within 13 weeks | No applications | | | | | | No applications | 0% | | 5% | No comparable data | First full year of reporting - No comparable data | 100% | No comparable data | | | |
| ↑ NI 157a SM | Percentage of "small scale major" planning applications determined within 13 weeks | 100 | No applications | No applications | No applications | 100 | No applications | No applications | | | | | | 100% | 60.00% | | 5% | No comparable data | First full year of reporting - No comparable data | 54.55% | No comparable data | | | |
| ↑ NI 157b (previously BV109b) | Percentage of "minor" planning applications determined within 8 weeks | 100 | 100 | 94.74 | 88.89 | 86.67 | 100 | 65.00 | | | | | | 89.29% | 65.00% | | 2% points | ¥ | ↓ 95.42% | 92.19% Top | BV109b 87.42% Top | | | |
| ↑ NI 157c (previously BV 109c) | Percentage of "other" planning applications determined within 8 weeks | 100 | 87.80 | 98.53 | 89.04 | 94.00 | 98.46 | 96.00 | | | | | | 95.07% | 80.00% | | 2% points | Ļ | ↓ 95.12% | 95.70% Top | BV109c 95.21% Top | | | |
| ↑ LI 541 (Previously PLI 188) | The number of decisions delegated to officers as a percentage of all decisions | 100 | 100 | 96.55 | 97.80 | 100 | 97.47 | 92.86 | | | | | | 97.69% | 90.00% | | 2% points | ↓ | ↑ 96.17% | 96.07% Top | 94.77% Top | | | |

| MONTHLY PERFORM | ANCE REPORT: OCTOBER 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | KEY T KEY TO QUARTILE | TO STATUS COL | | | | ĸ | EYS | |
|--|---|-------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-----|-------------|-----|----------------|--|--------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| CURRENT STATUS (BV12r - rolling colour status not counted) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | GREEN: | CARTIEL COLO | | ~ Interim figure, still to be validated | | | | |
| G 17 | 54.8% 6 | 19.4% | | | R | 7 | 22.6% | | | NO | DATA OR N/A | 1 | 3.2% | Overall performance on or exceed Top or Upper Median Quartile | ding target | | | | BV12r - Rolling colour | status not counted | |
| MONTH ON MONTH TREND | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | AMBER: | | | | | | | |
| <u>↑</u> 10 | 32.3% 🔶 3 | 9.7% | | _ | Ļ | 16 | 51.6% | _ | _ | NO | DATA OR N/A | 2 | 6.5% | Overall performance within range Lower Median Quartile | stated in "Target | Tolerances" colu | ımn | | | | |
| YEAR ON YEAR TREND | | | | | | | | | | | | | | RED: | | | | | | | |
| ↑ 11 | 35.5% + 1 | 3.2% | | | Ļ | 10 | 32.3% | | | NO | DATA OR N/A | 9 | 29.0% | Overall performance outside the s Bottom Quartile | stated "Target Tol | erances" | | | | | |
| Please contact Dale Robertso | on Ext 7110, if you require further information or suppo | ort | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ID | NAME | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | ост | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE | ANNUAL TARGET | CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any] | TARGET TOLERANCES | PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH | | NBC 08/09 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSTION | NBC 07/08 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSTION |
| Human Resources [Catherine | e Wilson] | | BV12r - Rolling | g colour status | s not counted | 6 | 0 | | A | 0 | | 8 | 1 | No data or n/a | 0 | | | | | | |
| ↓ BV 12 | The number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness absence | 0.96 | 0.83 | 1.03 | 1.15 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 1.03 | | | | | | 6.89 Days | 11.00 Days | 6.42 days | 5% | ↓ | ↑ 7.29 Days | 12.86 Days Bottom | 11.89 Days Bottom |
| ↓ BV 12r (Roling 12 months) | The average number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness absence for rolling 12 month period | 12.99 | 12.84 | 12.86 | 12.97 | 12.99 | 12.87 | 12.83 | | | | | | 12.91 Days | 11.00 Days | | 5% | ↑ | New measure with re | olling cumulative figures | - No comparable data |
| Finance & Assets [Gavin Cha | | | | | 6 | 0 | | | 1 | | | 0 | No data or n/a | 0 | | | | | | | |
| ↑ BV 8 | The percentage of invoices for commercial goods and services paid by the authority within 30 days of being received | 97.00 | 93.88 | 94.69 | 96.11 | 94.17 | 92.96 | 93.82 | | | | | | 94.71% | 95.00% | | 2% points | ↑ | 1 94.48% | 94.38% Lower Median | 91.51% Lower Median |
| Revenues & Benefits [Robin | Bates] | | | | | 6 | 3 | | <u> </u> | 4 | · | | 2 | No data or n/a | 0 | | | | | | |
| 1 NI 180 | Changes to Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit entilements within year | 290.0 | 469.9 | 109.5 | 90.5 | 96.0 | 102.1 | 80.0 | | | | | | 1,204.4 | 940.5 | 548.6 | 5% | Ļ | New N | II 2009/10 - No compara | ble data |
| ↓ NI 181 | Time taken to process Housing Benefit/Council Tax new claims/changes | 15.9 | 15.3 | 14.4 | 15.6 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 14.6 | | | | | | 14.2 Days | 14.0 Days | | 2.0 Days | Ļ | New N | II 2009/10 - No compara | ble data |
| ↑ BV 9 | Percentage of council tax received in the year | 11.25 | 9.01 | 9.24 | 9.23 | 8.99 | 9.25 | 9.14 | | | | | | 66.34% | 97.50% | 67.00% | 0.5% points | Ļ | ↓ 67.97% | 96.94% Lower Median | 97.95% Upper Median |
| ↑ BV 10 | % of non domestic rates due for the year which were received by the authority | 11.62 | 9.55 | 10.18 | 9.69 | 9.73 | 9.08 | 8.58 | | | | | | 68.88% | 99.50% | 68.80% | 2% points | Ļ | ↓ 71.29% | 99.12% Top | 99.79% Top |
| ↑ BV 76c | Housing Benefit Security: the number of fraud investigations | 76 | 52 | 71 | 57 | 96 | 99 | 95 | | | | | | 546 | 950 | 565 | 5% | Ļ | ↓ 618 | 949 | 847 |
| ↑ BV 76d | Housing Benefit Security: the number of prosecutions and sanctions | 10 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 10 | | | | | | 60 | 87 | 51 | 5% | ↑ | † 50 | 91 | 74 |
| ↓ BV 78a | Speed of Processing: Average time for processing new claims | 23.5 | 21.8 | 18.9 | 19.4 | 15.9 | 16.7 | 18.4 | | | | | | 19.4 | 19.0 Days | | 2.0 Days | Ļ | ↓ 16.0 Days | 16.1 Days Top | 23.8 Days Upper Median |
| ↓ BV 78b | Speed of Processing: Average time for processing notifications of change in circumstances | 11.8 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 13.7 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 13.1 | | | | | | 11.8 | 8.0 Days | | 1.0 Day | Ļ | ↓ 8.0 Days | 8.0 Days Upper Median | 10.9 Days Lower Median |
| ↑ LI 364 (Previously BEN LPI 1) | Percentage of cases from complete to determined within 14 days | 84.10 | 84.71 | 90.62 | 92.67 | 95.13 | 97.12 | 88.50 | | | | | | 90.18% | 92.00% | | 2% points | ↓ | ↓ 97.93% | 96.82% | 86.74% |
| Customer Services & ICT [Ma | arion Goodman] | | | | | 6 | 1 | | • | 0 | | 8 | 0 | No data or n/a | 0 | | | | | | |
| ↓ NI 14 | The percentage of customer contact that was 'Avoidable' | 16.7 | 12.2 | 19.0 | 11.5 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 16.3 | | | | | | 15.2% | 50.0% | | 10% | Ļ | New N | II 2009/10 - No compara | ble data |

| MON | | LY PERFORMANCE REPORT: OCTOBER 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | KEY TO STATUS COLOURING KEY TO QUARTILE & TARGETED QUARTILE COLOURING | | | | | KEYS | | | |
|--------|--|---|---|---|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-----|---------------|------|-------|---|--|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| CURR | ENT STATUS | (BV12r - rolling col | our status not counted) | | | | | | | | | | | | | GREEN: | | | | | | ~ Interim figure, still to be validated | | | |
| 6 | 17 | 54.8% | <u>ه</u> (| 6 19.4% P 7 22.6% NO DATA OR N/A 1 3.2% Overall performance on or exceeding target Top or Upper Median Quartile | | | | | | | | | | | | | BV12r - Rolling colour status not counted | | | | | | | | |
| MONT | H ON MONTH TREND | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | AMBER: | | | | | | | | | |
| ↑ | 10 | 32.3% | ↔ 3 | 9.7% | | | Ļ | 16 | 51.6% | | | NO |) DATA OR N/A | 2 | 6.5% | Overall performance within range Lower Median Quartile | stated in "Target | Tolerances" col | umn | | | | | | |
| YEAR | EAR ON YEAR TREND | | | | | | | | | | | | | RED: | RED: | | | | | | | | | | |
| ↑ | 11 | 35.5% | ↔ 1 | 3.2% | | | Ļ | 10 | 32.3% | | | NO |) DATA OR N/A | 9 | 29.0% | Overall performance outside the s Bottom Quartile | stated "Target Tol | erances" | | | | | | | |
| Please | Ase contact Dale Robertson Ext 7110, if you require further information or support | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | 1 | I | <u>-</u> | I | | | | | | | |
| | ID | NAME | | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | ост | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE | ANNUAL TARGET | CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any] | TARGET TOLERANCES | PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH | OVERALL PERFORMANCE AGAINST SAME TIME LAST YEAR | NBC 08/09 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSTION | NBC 07/08 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSTION | | |
| Landlo | ord Services [Christine | e Ansell] | | | | | | 6 | 0 | | ۸ | 0 | | ₿ | 2 | No data or n/a 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | HI 1 (previously BV 66a) | Rent collected by the I rents owed on HRA dv | ocal authority as a proportion of vellings | 93.06 | 94.56 | 97.28 | 94.12 | 102.99 | 93.89 | 94.76 | | | | | | 95.88% | 97.50% | | 1% point | ↑ | ↑ 95.24% | 96.26% Bottom | 96.76% Bottom | | |
| t | HI 3 (previously BV 66d) | Number of tenants evi | cted as a result of rent arrears | 0 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | | | | | | 23 | 36 | 21 | 1 per quarter | ↑ | ↑ 34 | 55 | 26 | | |
| Housi | ng Needs & Support [F | Fran Rodgers] | | | | | | 6 | 3 | | <u>(</u> | 0 | | 8 | 0 | No data or n/a | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| t | NI 156 | Number of households Accomodation | living in Temporary | 25 | 22 | 17 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 8 | | | | | | 8 | 25 | 28 | 5% | New NI 2009/10 - No comparable data | | | ble data | | |
| | HI 6 (previously BV 212) | Average time taken to | re-let local authority homes | 33 | 24 | 25 | 28 | 21 | 19 | 20 | | | | | | 24 Days | 25 Days | 25 Days | 5% | Ļ | ↑ 30 Days | 29 Days Upper Median | 34 Days Lower Median | | |
| | HI 15 (previously LHPI 183a) | The average length of accommodation of hou homeless and in priori | stay in bed and breakfast iseholds that are unintentionally y need (working days) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | 0 Days | 5 Days | 4.30 Days | 20% | ↔ | Previously reported quarterly - No comparable data | 1.68 weeks Upper Mediian | 1 week Top | | |